

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,536	09/15/2003	Kazuhiro Yoshida	2003-1243A	8038
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, MARGARET G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006-1021		1712	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/661,536	YOSHIDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAU INC DATE AND	Margaret G. Moore	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	his action is non-final.  wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	or Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)  Claim(s) 1 to 19 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5)  Claim(s) 15 to 19 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 to 11, 14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document c	nts have been received.  nts have been received in App  ority documents have been received.	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office  OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	Action Summary	

Application/Control Number: 10/661,536

Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the actual process steps required in the production of the silsesquioxane (2). The step "using" fails to adequately define a specific process step. Since one cannot determine what steps are required to prepare silsesquioxane (2) the metes and bounds of this claim are unclear.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 to 4, 7 to 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lichtenhan et al., Patent Application Publication US 2004/0068075, herein '075.

'075 teaches polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes. Note for instance Figure 5 which shows a compound meeting Formula (2) wherein each Y is a group of Formula (3) having a alkenyl Z group and methyl R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> groups. See also paragraph 41. R is defined on column 2, paragraph 10. Since R is defined as a cyclic or linear aliphatic or aromatic, the skilled artisan would have immediately envisioned the simplest groups in the aliphatic series, such as methyl or ethyl, as well as the simplest aromatic group, phenyl, thereby anticipating the limitations of claims 7 to 10, as well as claim 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,536

Art Unit: 1712

With regards to claim 1, note that column 2, paragraph 7, teaches that these silsesquioxanes can be prepared by reacting a siloxide having Si-OA group, wherein F is hydrogen, alkaline or alkaline earth metals. Thus '075 teaches that the silsesquioxane in, for instance, Figure 5 can be prepared from a comparable starting material having OM groups that correspond to the silicon compound (1). In this manner claim 1 is also anticipated by the prior art. The rationale regarding the definition of R noted in the paragraph supra applies to claims 2 to 4 as well.

5. Claims 5, 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '075.

'075 specifically teaches alkaline metals which include sodium. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found the selection of such a metal obvious and within routine experimentation, particularly in view of the limited number of metals found in this group. In this manner the limitations of claims 5 and 6 are rendered obvious.

Regarding claim 11, note that paragraph 10 teaches that the aliphatic R groups can be contain a reactive functionality such as halides. In view of the limited number of members in the halide group, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found the selection of an aliphatic group having a fluorine functional group to have been an obvious selection.

6. Claims 7 to 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lichtenhan et al., Patent Application Publication US 2003/0055193, herein '193.

'193 teaches a process for the functionalization of polyhedral oligomeric silses-quioxanes. Note the first formula after the reaction scheme on paragraph 35. This includes three -SiR<sub>2</sub>RY groups. Such a compound corresponds to the claimed silses-quioxane derivative having three Y groups of Formula (3). Note the various functional Y groups found in paragraph 40 which anticipate the definition of Z in claims 7 and 14. The definition of R is found in paragraph 10. The Examiner relies on the rationale noted supra concerning the anticipation of the specific R groups in instant claims 7 to 10. In addition, note that the working examples show R groups that meet the requirements of

Art Unit: 1712

claims 7 to 10. Thus while the working examples do not specifically show the silsesquioxane claimed, it is clear that '193 anticipates the R groups claimed.

- 7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '193.

  This rejection relies on the same rationale noted in paragraph 5, supra, regarding claim 11. As such this will not be repeated.
- 8. Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over '193.

'193 fails to specifically teach the starting material (1). Scheme 2, which shows the preparation of a silsesquioxane meeting formula (2), uses a starting material having three OH groups. Notice that MOH, wherein M corresponds to M in the instant claims, is used in this reaction process. Paragraph 22 teaches that bases are added during the reaction to help cleave the O-H bonds found in the silsesquioxane. Thus, when MOH cleaves the O-H bond in the starting material in Scheme 2, it will result in a compound of formula (1) which is then used to form the final compound (2). While not specifically shown, the method of claim 1 inherently occurs in the process of '193 and as such this claimed process is inherently met by the prior art. The Examiner relies on the rationale noted supra in paragraph 6 with regards to the selection of R groups in claims 1 to 4. Note that sodium is one of the specific M atoms taught in '193, thereby meeting this requirement in claims 5 and 6.

9. Claims 7 to 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lichtenhan et al. '562.

'562 teaches silsesquioxanes having the Formula 2 on the top of column 4. Note that the OA groups can by OH, rendering obvious the selection of 2 hydrogen Y groups in the instant Formula 2. The  $SiR^4R^5R^6$  in the '562 Formula 2 corresponds to the claimed Y group of Formula (3). Note that the  $R^4$ ,  $R^5$  and  $R^6$  group can be alkenyl or alkoxy. When such a group is selected, this corresponds to the claimed Z group in claims 7 and 14 for Formula (3). Thus the Formula 1 in '562 embraces that instantly claimed and one

Art Unit: 1712

having ordinary skill in the art would have found the selection of OH as the OA group, and the selection of an alkenyl or alkoxy  $R^4$ ,  $R^5$  or  $R^6$  to have been obvious and within routine experimentation of the teaching in '562. Note the definitions of R found on the top of column 4 which specifically names various groups meeting the requirements of claims 7 to 10. In this manner these claims are rendered obvious by the prior art.

- 10. Claims 15 to 19 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest such specific silsesquioxanes. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but containing allowable subject matter. The prior art fails to teach these particular requirements, specifically the R groups as claimed. The general teaching of halide substituted aliphatic groups in the prior art simply is not sufficient to render obvious the selection of the particular fluorinated groups required by these claims.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARKARET G. MOORE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1712

mm 10/13/04